



Docket No: 242662US6YA

IFW

OBLON
SPIVAK
McCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

STEVEN P. WEIHROUCH
(703) 413-3000
SWEIHROUCH@OBLON.COM

EDWIN D. GARLEPP
SENIOR ASSOCIATE
(703) 413-3000
EGARLEPP@OBLON.COM

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/660,697

Applicants: Kevin Andrew CHAMNESS

Filing Date: September 12, 2003

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM OF DIAGNOSING A
PROCESSING SYSTEM USING ADAPTIVE
MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS

Group Art Unit: 2857

Examiner: WEST, JEFFREY R.

SIR:


Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Steven P. Weihrouch
Registration No. 32,829

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Edwin D. Garlepp
Registration No. 45,330

I:\ATTY\EDG\2312 - TOKYO ELECTRON\ES\ES 4\242662US\RSP TO RSTR.CVR 4.05.DOC



DOCKET NO: 242662US6 YA

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
IN RE APPLICATION OF :
KEVIN ANDREW CHAMNESS : EXAMINER: WEST, JEFFREY R.
SERIAL NO: 10/660,697 :
FILED: SEPTEMBER 12, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2857
FOR: METHOD AND SYSTEM OF DIAGNOSING A PROCESSING SYSTEM USING
ADAPTIVE MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated March 15, 2005, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 1-42, 47 and 48, directed to a method. Applicants traverse the Restriction Requirement for the following reason.

MPEP § 803 states:

MPEP § 803

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

However, the outstanding Restriction Requirement has not established that an undue burden would exist if the Restriction Requirement was not issued and all the claims were examined together. Moreover, the claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Application Serial Number: 10/660,697
In Response to Restriction Requirement dated March 15, 2005


Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-50 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number
22850

Phone: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413-2220

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.


Steven P. Weihrouch
Registration No. 32,829

Edwin D. Garlepp
Registration No. 45,330

SPW:EDG:tdm
I:\ATTY\EDG\2312 - TOKYO ELECTRON\ES\ES 4\242662US\RSP TO REST 4.05.DOC